

Announcements

1) Scholarship deadline:

4/10

Definition: (integral in \mathbb{R}^n)

Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -cube.

Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$E = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i) \text{ and}$$

$\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$ partitions of

$\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, respectively.

If f is bounded on E ,
define

$$U(f, \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

$$\sum_{j_n=1}^{k_n} \cdots \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} M_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{i, j_i}, x_{i, j_i+1})$$

where $M_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} = \sup_{x \in \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{i, j_i}, x_{i, j_i+1})} f(x)$

and $P_i = \{ \overset{a}{\parallel} x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i, \overset{b}{\parallel} k_i} \}$

where $k_i =$ number of subdivisions

Similarly, define

$$L(f, \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

$$\sum_{j_n=1}^{k_n} \cdots \sum_{j_2=1}^{k_2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{k_1} m_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{i, j_i}, x_{i, j_i+1})$$

where $m_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} = \inf_{x \in \prod_{i=1}^n (x_{i, j_i}, x_{i, j_i+1})} f(x)$

$$\text{Let } L(f) = \sup_{\substack{\text{partitions} \\ \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n}} L(f, \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

and

$$U(f) = \inf_{\substack{\text{partitions} \\ \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n}} U(f, \{P_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

We say f is

Riemann Integrable

on E if

$$U(f) = L(f)$$

In that case, we set

$$\int_E f(x) dx \quad \text{to be}$$

the common value of $U(f)$
and $L(f)$.

Extension: Let A

be a bounded region
in \mathbb{R}^n and let

$f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then A
(f bounded)
is contained in some n -cube

E . We define

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_E f(x) \chi_A(x) dx$$

Where

$$\chi_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A, \end{cases}$$

We extend f outside of A
by defining it to be identically
zero, and provided the
latter integral exists!

All familiar results apply

for example, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

We say A has (Lebesgue)

measure zero if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

\exists an open cover of A by

n -cubes such that the sum of

all the volumes of the n -cubes

is less than ε .

Then a bounded function f is Riemann-integrable on A if and only if the set of discontinuities of f on A has measure zero. Up to notation, the proof is identical.

Also, if f is integrable over $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \cap B$ is a set of measure zero, then

$$\int_{A \cup B} f(x) dx = \int_A f(x) dx + \int_B f(x) dx$$

Theorem! (Fubini)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
be n -cubes. Suppose

$f : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded
and integrable. Define,

for a fixed $x \in A$,

$$f_x : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f_x(y) = f(x, y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A \times B} f \\ &= \int_A L(f_x, B) dx \\ &= \int_A U(f_x, B) dx \end{aligned}$$

Where $L(f_x, B)$ and $U(f_x, B)$ denote the lower and upper sums for f_x over B .

Remarks

1) Integrability: If f is integrable on $A \times B$, this does not necessarily imply f_x is integrable on B for any $x \in A$.

If we do have integrability of f_x off of a set of measure zero contained in A , then Fubini's Theorem becomes

$$\int_{A \times B} f$$

$$= \int_A \left(\int_B f_x(y) dy \right) dx$$

If we also define

$$f_y: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_y(x) = f(x, y),$$

there is an analogous statement of Fubini's theorem for f_y .

If, for example, f is
continuous on $A \times B$,

$$\int_{A \times B} f = \int_A \left(\int_B f_x dy \right) dx$$

$$= \int_B \left(\int_A f_y dx \right) dy$$

2) Non-cubic regions

It is possible, if
your region $A \times B$ is
"nice" enough, to use

Fubini's Theorem on $A \times B$
in the case where A and
 B are not cubes.

Differential Forms

Definition: (multi-linearity)

A function

$$T: \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is said to be multilinear

if $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k,$

$$\begin{aligned} & T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ &= T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ & \quad + T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ &= T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ & \quad + T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, c x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) \\ &= c T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (T is linear
in each variable)

Example 1: (linear)

If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

linear, then f is
certainly multilinear!

In fact, f is just
multiplication by a $1 \times n$
matrix.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i\right) \quad (\alpha_i \text{'s} \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f(e_i), \text{ so}$$

f is determined by its values on the standard basis!

Let $\alpha_i = f(e_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Define $\varphi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\varphi_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j\right) = \alpha_i.$$

($1 \leq i \leq n$). Then φ_i is

linear, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varphi_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j\right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis

for linear maps from

\mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}

Linear Independence:

if $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varphi_i = 0$, then

plug in e_j to get $\alpha_j = 0$
 $\forall (1 \leq j \leq n)$.